

Reviewer Comments Sheet

Title: Administration of Crizotinib via a Jejunostomy Tube: A Case Report

Author: S. Croes

Date: June 14, 2018

Version:

Reviewer: Janine R. E. Vintch, MD

	Yes	No
Is the article in acceptable language and grammar?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is title and abstract informative?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is article within the scope of the Journal?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is new knowledge presented?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is methodology clearly described?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the interpretations and conclusions justified by the data?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are all tables and figures relevant clear and concise?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are all references necessary and adequate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Recommendations:

Accepted		Rejected
Major changes	Minor changes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Reviewer Comments:

Please see my notes and comments in track changes directly made on the attached manuscript.

1. Abstract: I think it needs to more clearly state to providers who may not be very familiar with crizotinib why you thought studying the administration of this medication to the jejunum was interesting. I don't think it was clear enough in stating up front and before the findings that at this time it is unknown if this medication can be delivered to other parts of the GI tract and still produce effective and therapeutic levels when patients are unable to take their medications orally.

2. Grammar: I found a few words confusing and made some suggested changes within the manuscript. Please review my suggestions and only accept them if my changes are still in line with what the authors were trying to get across to the reader.